



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTÓRNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/077,727	02/15/2002	David F. Gavin	101792-200	2648	
27267	7590 01/26/2004		EXAM	INER	
	WIGGIN & DANA LLP ATTENTION: PATENT DOCKETING			CELSA, BENNETT M	
ONE CENTURY TOWER, P.O. BOX 1832			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	N, CT 06508-1832		1639	3	
			DATE MAILED: 01/26/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/077,727	GAVIN ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Bennett Celsa	1639		
eriod for	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover shet w	vith the correspondence address		
THE M - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F IAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica- period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by ply received by the Office later than three months after the displacement of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1) 🗌 🖠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	.			
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.			
3) 🗌 :	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	llowance except for formal mander <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.I	tters, prosecution as to the ments is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Dispositio	on of Claims				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) <u>2-37 and 39</u> is/are pending in th	e application.			
4	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	thdrawn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)	Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-37 and 39</u> are subject to restr	iction and/or election requirem	ent.		
Application	on Papers		•		
	The specification is objected to by the Ex		·		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
	Applicant may not request that any objection				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the				
,	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action of form P10-152.		
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a laim by Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a claim for donce a specific reference was included in 7 CFR 1.78. 1. The translation of the foreign languate acknowledgment is made of a claim for deference was included in the first sentence.	uments have been received. uments have been received in the priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The a list of the certified copies not the first sentence of the specified the provisional application has to mestic priority under 35 U.S.C.	Application No In received in this National Stage of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) ication or in an Application Data Sheet. been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific		
Attachmen	He)				
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-§	· <u>—</u>	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1639

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 2-37 and 39 are currently pending.

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- I. Claims 2-11 and 35-37, drawn to a composition (e.g.biocidal) comprising composite particles of a metal containing core and a pyrithione adduct shell, classified in class 424, subclass 405.
- II. Claims 12-24 and 32-34, drawn to a method of making a composite particle copper pyrithione, classified in class 514, subclass 345+.
- III.. Claims 25-31, drawn to a coating composition and method of using to reduce/inhibit organisms, classified in class 156, subclass 300+.
- IV. Claim 39, drawn to a shampoo or skin care composition comprising composite particles of a metal core and a pyrithione shell, classified in class 510, subclass 119..
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product (e.g. biocidal composition) as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as those described in US. Pat. No. 5,510,109 and U.S. Pat. No. 5,595,750 on page 1 of the specification.

Art Unit: 1639

- 3. The compositions of Groups I, III and IV are drawn to distinctly different uses, would require different ingredients and are drawn to totally different areas of search as to constitute independent and/or distinct inventive compositions.
- The method of Group II is independent and/or distinct from Groups III and IV 4. which are drawn to different compositions having different uses and ingredients as compared to the method of making the composite. Additionally, Groups II-IV are drawn to divergents art areas requiring separate and individually burdensome searches.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and a. have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification b. require different and separately burdensome manual and computer searches; c. due to their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

ELECTION OF SPECIES.

Upon selection of the Group I invention, the following election of species is herein required:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: composition comprising different metals and pyrithione adduct compounds which respectively differ structurally, physichochemically, functionally and/or by means of manufacture as to constitute independent and/or patentably distinct species..

Art Unit: 1639

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species (e.g. a single metal and a single pyrithione derivative compound) that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. A structure of the elected pyrithione derivative, if not present, in the specification, is hereby requested for purposes of facilitating searching. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1639

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bennett Celsa whose telephone number is 703-305-7556. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Wang can be reached on 703-306-3217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Bennett Celsa Primary Examiner Art Unit 1639

MM

BC January 22,2004